Docket No.

MERCK-1342-D01

ATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Bernhard SCHEUBLE et al.

EXAMINER: T. Duong

SERIAL NO: FILING DATE: July 23, 2001

09/909,876

FOR:

ELECTROOPTICAL SYSTEM

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

No additional fee is required.

Applicant(s) is/are entitled to small entity status.

Additional documents filed herewith: Petition For Extension Of Time; Reply \boxtimes

The fee has been calculated below:

CLAIMS	CLAIMS REMAINING		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID	NO. OF EXTRA CLAIMS		RATE	CALCULATIONS
			FOR	U			
TOTAL	13	MINUS	20	0	х	\$50 =	\$0.00
INDEPENDENT	6	MINUS	4	2	х	\$200 =	\$400.00
*****	☐ MULT	·					
******		\$400.00					
****	☐ REDUCTION BY 50% FOR FILING BY SMALL ENTITY						\$0.00
****	☐ RECORDATION OF ASSIGNMENT + \$40 =						\$0.00
434566						TOTAL	\$400.00

- \boxtimes A check in the amount of \$400.00 is attached.
- \boxtimes Please charge any additional fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under \boxtimes 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36 for any necessary extension of time may be charged to Deposit Account No. 13-3402. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908

Attorney/Agent for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1 2200 Clarendon Blvd. Suite 1400 Arlington, Virginia 22201

Telephone: (703) 243-6333 Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Date: May 11, 2005



United States Parent And Trademark Office

MAY 1 1 2015



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DAN

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

07/828,904

02/03/1992

BERNHARD SCHEUBLE

MERCK1342 -

4397

23599

7590

11/30/2004

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.

SUITE 1400

ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER

DUONG, TAI V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

DEC - 2 2004
this application or proceeding.
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ABANDURO IN FAMER

1224 J

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

;										
		OLP S API	olication No.	Applicant(s)						
			/828,904	SCHEUBLE ET AL.						
	Office Action Summar	MAY 1 1 2005 6	miner	Art Unit						
•		退 題	Duong	2871						
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this comi or Reply	TRADEMAN	on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>09/29/03</i> .								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-5,7,8,14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3, 7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.									
	6)区 Claim(s) <u>4,5,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[_	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.								
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copi application from the Internation	f: rity documents have rity documents have es of the priority do ational Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been receive T Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage						
			2222 33,.33 1131 1330110							
Attachmen	·									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	w (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)							

Art Unit: 2871

Upon reconsideration, the amendment dated 09/15/03 has been entered. Claims 3-5, 7, 8, 14 and 15 are pending and claims 1, 2, 6, 9-13 and 16 have been canceled.

The specification is objected to because a Brief Description of the Drawings is required, as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74. See MPEP 608.01(f).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 4, 5, 14 and 15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,327, 010. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 of the patent disclose all the recited features of the instant claims. That is, the instant claims are anticipated by the patent claims. As to claim 4, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to delete the functional limitation "in order to achieve high contrast ... the color values" from the patent claim 1 for broadening the scope of the patent claim. Similar reasons are also applied to claims 5, 14 and 15.

Art Unit: 2871

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baur et al "Electrooptic Properties of Some Twisted Structures" cited by Applicant.

Claim 15 recites alternative limitations which are directed to alternative embodiments. One embodiment is "the *parallel* edge alignment and a twist angle of 0 < 100 with conditions (3), (4)" while the other embodiment is "the *homeotropic* edge alignment". For the homeotropic edge alignment, claim 15 is anticipated by Fig. 3 b). See discussions of the recited features on pages 2 and 3 of the Baur article.

Claims 3, 7 and 8 are allowed because none of the prior art discloses or suggests a twisted nematic layer having a parallel edge alignment and a twist angle of 0 < 100 that satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3) or (4) and one or more compensation layers being based on a twisted nematic liquid crystal, the twist angle of one or more compensation layers having essentially the same absolute value but the opposite rotational sense as , and the director of the LC molecules and the optical axes of the one or more compensation layers forming an angle of 30 to 150 at the surfaces of the second substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

11/04